

Prison quarterly ratings

Quarter 3, 2008/09

Introduction

The Ministry of Justice is responsible for agreeing and publishing performance ratings of prisons as part of the regulatory framework for NOMS Agency. NOMS Agency produces quarterly data driven performance assessments for each establishment. The assurance and publication of prison performance by the department is designed to ensure independence and transparency of the final performance assessments from NOMS Agency.

Understanding the ratings

NOMS Agency produces data driven assessments of performance for prison providers using assessment frameworks that have been agreed with the department. For public prisons this is a combination of the Prison Performance Assessment Tool (PPAT) which assesses around 120 public prisons by looking at performance in 33 indicators, and is then cross checked with a rating produced by assessing against a set of 44 indicators in the public prison weighted scorecard. For private prisons only the PPAT is used to produce the data driven assessment.

There is then opportunity for the ratings to be considered for moderation. A moderation proposal is submitted by NOMS Agency and is a request to adjust the data driven performance rating on the basis of additional non data driven evidence submitted by the Agency. Establishments for which a moderation proposal was considered are marked with an asterisk.

Quarterly ratings

Key: Rating 4 = Exceptional performance
Rating 2 = Requiring development,

Rating 3 = Good performance
Rating 1 = Serious concerns

Establishment	Rating	Performance against last quarter
Acklington*	2	No change
Albany	2	No change
Altcourse	3	No change
Ashfield*	3	No change
Ashwell	3	No change
Askham Grange*	4	No change
Aylesbury	3	No change
Bedford	3	No change
Belmarsh	3	No change
Birmingham	2	No change

Establishment	Rating	Performance against last quarter
Blantyre House	3	No change
Blundeston	4	No change
Brinsford	3	No change
Bristol	3	Improvement
Brixton	2	No change
Bronzefield	3	No change
Buckley Hall	3	No change
Bullingdon	3	No change
Bullwood Hall	3	No change
Camp Hill	3	No change
Canterbury	3	No change
Cardiff	3	No change
Castington	4	No change
Channings Wood	3	No change
Chelmsford	3	No change
Coldingley	3	No change
Cookham Wood*	2	-
Dartmoor*	2	No change
Deerbolt*	2	No change
Doncaster	3	No change
Dorchester	3	Drop in performance
Dovegate	3	No change
Downview	3	No change
Drake Hall*	3	No change
Durham	3	No change
East Sutton Park	3	No change
Eastwood Park	4	No change
Edmunds Hill	3	No change
Erlestoke	3	No change
Everthorpe	4	No change
Exeter	3	No change
Featherstone	3	No change
Feltham	3	No change
Ford	3	No change
Forest Bank	2	No change
Foston Hall	3	No change
Frankland	3	No change

Establishment	Rating	Performance against last quarter
Full Sutton	3	No change
Garth	3	No change
Gartree	3	No change
Glen Parva	3	No change
Gloucester	3	No change
Grendon	3	No change
Guys Marsh	3	No change
Haverigg	3	No change
Hewell	3	No change
High Down	2	Drop in performance
Highpoint	3	No change
Hindley	3	No change
Hollesley Bay	4	Improvement
Holloway	3	No change
Holme House*	3	No change
Hull*	4	No change
Huntercombe	3	No change
Kennet	3	Improvement
Kingston	4	No change
Kirkham	3	No change
Kirklevington	3	No change
Lancaster	3	No change
Lancaster Farms	3	No change
Latchmere House	3	No change
Leeds	2	No change
Leicester	3	No change
Lewes*	2	No change
Leyhill	4	No change
Lincoln*	3	Improvement
Lindholme	2	Drop in performance
Littlehey	3	Drop in performance
Liverpool	3	No change
Long Lartin	3	No change
Low Newton*	2	Drop in performance
Lowdham Grange	3	No change
Maidstone	3	No change
Manchester	4	No change

Establishment	Rating	Performance against last quarter
Moorland	3	No change
Morton Hall	4	No change
Mount	3	No change
New Hall	3	No change
North Sea Camp	3	No change
Northallerton	3	No change
Norwich	3	No change
Nottingham	3	No change
Onley	3	Improvement
Parc	3	No change
Parkhurst	2	Drop in performance
Pentonville	2	No change
Peterborough*	2	-
Portland	3	No change
Preston*	3	No change
Ranby	3	No change
Reading	3	No change
Risley*	2	No change
Rochester	3	No change
Rye Hill	2	No change
Send	3	No change
Sheppey Cluster	3	No change
Shepton Mallet	3	No change
Shrewsbury	3	No change
Stafford*	3	No change
Stocken	3	No change
Stoke Heath	3	No change
Styal*	3	No change
Sudbury	4	No change
Swansea	3	No change
Swinfen Hall	4	No change
Thorn Cross	3	No change
Usk\Prescoed	3	No change
Verne	3	No change
Wakefield	3	No change
Wandsworth	3	No change
Warren Hill	3	No change

Establishment	Rating	Performance against last quarter
Wayland	4	No change
Wealstun	3	No change
Wellingborough	2	No change
Werrington	3	No change
Wetherby*	3	Drop in performance
Whatton	4	Improvement
Whitemoor	3	No change
Winchester	3	No change
Wolds	2	Drop in performance
Woodhill*	3	No change
Wormwood Scrubs	3	No change
Wymott	3	No change

© Crown copyright
Produced by the Ministry of Justice

Alternative format versions of this report are available on request from
Charles.Adcock2@justice.gsi.gov.uk