

Prison quarterly ratings

Quarter 2, 2008/09

Introduction

From the second quarter of 2008/09, the Ministry of Justice will be responsible for agreeing and publishing performance ratings of prisons as part of the regulatory framework for NOMS. NOMS produces quarterly data driven performance assessments for each establishment. The assurance and publication of prison performance by the department is designed to ensure independence and transparency of the final performance assessments from NOMS.

Understanding the ratings

NOMS produces data driven assessments of performance for prison providers using assessment frameworks that have been agreed with the department. For public prisons this is a combination of the Prison Performance Assessment Tool (PPAT) which assesses around 120 public prisons by looking at performance in 33 indicators, and is then cross checked with a rating produced by assessing against a set of 44 indicators in the public prison weighted scorecard. For private prisons only the PPAT is used to produce the data driven assessment.

Establishments for which a moderation proposal was considered are marked with an asterisk. A moderation proposal is submitted by NOMS and is a request to adjust the data driven performance rating on the basis of additional non data driven evidence submitted by the agency.

Quarterly ratings

Key: Rating 4 = Exceptional performance Rating 3 = Good performance
Rating 2 = Requiring development, Rating 1 = Serious concerns

Establishment	Rating	Performance against last quarter
Acklington	2	No change
Albany	2	Drop in performance
Altcourse*	3	Drop in performance
Ashfield*	3	No change
Ashwell	3	No change
Askham Grange	4	No change
Aylesbury	3	No change
Bedford	3	No change
Belmarsh	3	No change
Birmingham	2	No change
Blantyre House	3	No change

Establishment	Rating	Performance against last quarter
Blundeston	4	Improvement
Brinsford	3	No change
Bristol*	2	Drop in performance
Brixton	2	No change
Bronzeield	3	No change
Buckley Hall	3	Improvement
Bullingdon	3	No change
Bullwood Hall	3	No change
Camp Hill	3	Improvement
Canterbury	3	No change
Cardiff	3	No change
Castington	4	No change
Channings Wood	3	No change
Chelmsford	3	No change
Coldingley	3	Improvement
Dartmoor*	2	Drop in performance
Deerbolt*	2	No change
Doncaster*	3	No change
Dorchester	4	No change
Dovegate	3	No change
Downview	3	Drop in performance
Drake Hall	3	No change
Durham	3	No change
East Sutton Park	3	No change
Eastwood Park	4	No change
Edmunds Hill	3	No change
Erlestone	3	No change
Everthorpe	4	No change
Exeter	3	No change
Featherstone	3	No change
Feltham	3	No change
Ford	3	No change
Forest Bank*	2	Drop in performance
Foston Hall	3	Improvement
Frankland	3	No change
Full Sutton	3	Drop in performance
Garth	3	No change
Gartree	3	No change

Establishment	Rating	Performance against last quarter
Glen Parva	3	No change
Gloucester*	3	Drop in performance
Grendon	3	No change
Guys Marsh	3	No change
Haverigg	3	No change
Hewell	3	No change
High Down	3	No change
Highpoint	3	No change
Hindley	3	No change
Hollesley Bay	3	No change
Holloway	3	No change
Holme House	3	No change
Hull	4	No change
Huntercombe	3	No change
Kennet	2	No change
Kingston	4	No change
Kirkham	3	No change
Kirklevington	3	Drop in performance
Lancaster	3	No change
Lancaster Farms	3	No change
Latchmere House	3	No change
Leeds	2	No change
Leicester	3	No change
Lewes*	2	Drop in performance
Leyhill	4	Improvement
Lincoln*	2	No change
Lindholme	3	No change
Littlehey	4	No change
Liverpool	3	No change
Long Lartin	3	No change
Low Newton	3	No change
Lowdham Grange	3	No change
Maidstone	3	No change
Manchester	4	No change
Moorland*	3	No change
Morton Hall	4	No change
Mount	3	No change
New Hall	3	Improvement

Establishment	Rating	Performance against last quarter
North Sea Camp	3	No change
Northallerton	3	No change
Norwich	3	No change
Nottingham	3	No change
Onley	2	No change
Parc	3	No change
Parkhurst	3	No change
Pentonville	2	No change
Peterborough	-	-
Portland	3	No change
Preston	3	No change
Ranby	3	No change
Reading	3	No change
Risley	2	No change
Rochester	3	No change
Rye Hill	2	No change
Send	3	No change
Shepton Mallet	3	No change
Sheppey Cluster	3	No change
Shrewsbury	3	No change
Stafford	3	No change
Stocken	3	No change
Stoke Heath	3	Improvement
Styal	3	No change
Sudbury	4	No change
Swansea	3	No change
Swinfen Hall	4	No change
Thorn Cross	3	No change
Usk\Prescoed	3	No change
Verne*	3	No change
Wakefield	3	No change
Wandsworth*	3	No change
Warren Hill	3	No change
Wayland	4	No change
Wealstun	3	No change
Wellingborough	2	No change
Werrington	3	No change
Wetherby	4	No change

Establishment	Rating	Performance against last quarter
Whatton	3	No change
Whitemoor	3	No change
Winchester	3	No change
Wolds	3	Drop in performance
Woodhill	3	No change
Wormwood Scrubs	3	No change
Wymott	3	No change

© Crown copyright
Produced by the Ministry of Justice

Alternative format versions of this report are available on request from
Charles.Adcock2@justice.gsi.gov.uk