

# PRACTICE DIRECTION – EXPERTS AND ASSESSORS

## THIS PRACTICE DIRECTION SUPPLEMENTS CPR PART 35

*Part 35 is intended to limit the use of oral expert evidence to that which is reasonably required. In addition, where possible, matters requiring expert evidence should be dealt with by a single expert. Permission of the court is always required either to call an expert or to put an expert's report in evidence.*

### FORM AND CONTENT OF EXPERT'S REPORTS

- 1.1 An expert's report should be addressed to the court and not to the party from whom the expert has received his instructions.
- 1.2 An expert's report must:
  - (1) give details of the expert's qualifications,
  - (2) give details of any literature or other material which the expert has relied on in making the report,
  - (3) say who carried out any test or experiment which the expert has used for the report and whether or not the test or experiment has been carried out under the expert's supervision,
  - (4) give the qualifications of the person who carried out any such test or experiment, and
  - (5) where there is a range of opinion on the matters dealt with in the report –
    - (i) summarise the range of opinion, and
    - (ii) give reasons for his own opinion,
  - (6) contain a summary of the conclusions reached,
  - (7) contain a statement that the expert understands his duty to the court and has complied with that duty (rule 35.10(2)), and
  - (8) contain a statement setting out the substance of all material instructions (whether written or oral). The statement should summarise the facts and instructions given to the expert which are material to the opinions expressed in the report or upon which those opinions are based (rule 35.10(3)).
- 1.3 An expert's report must be verified by a statement of truth as well as containing the statements required in paragraph 1.2 (7) and (8) above.
- 1.4 The form of the statement of truth is as follows:

' I believe that the facts I have stated in this report are true and that the opinions I have expressed are correct.'

- 1.5 Attention is drawn to rule 32.14 which sets out the consequences of verifying a document containing a false statement without an honest belief in its truth.

(For information about statements of truth see Part 22 and the practice direction which supplements it.)

- 1.6 In addition, an expert's report should comply with the requirements of any approved expert's protocol.

## INFORMATION

---

- 2 Where the court makes an order under rule 35.9 (i.e. where one party has access to information not reasonably available to the other party), the document to be prepared recording the information should set out sufficient details of any facts, tests or experiments which constitute the information to enable an assessment and understanding of the significance of the information to be made and obtained.

## INSTRUCTIONS

---

- 3 The instructions referred to in paragraph 1.2(8) will not be protected by privilege (see rule 35.10(4)). But cross-examination of the expert on the contents of his instructions will not be allowed unless the court permits it (or unless the party who gave the instructions consents to it). Before it gives permission the court must be satisfied that there are reasonable grounds to consider that the statement in the report of the substance of the instructions is inaccurate or incomplete. If the court is so satisfied, it will allow the cross-examination where it appears to be in the interests of justice to do so.

## QUESTIONS TO EXPERTS

---

- 4.1 Questions asked for the purpose of clarifying the expert's report (see rule 35.6) should be put, in writing, to the expert not later than 28 days after receipt of the expert's report (see paragraphs 1.2 to 1.5 above as to verification).
- 4.2 Where a party sends a written question or questions direct to an expert and the other party is represented by solicitors, a copy of the questions should, at the same time, be sent to those solicitors.

## SINGLE EXPERT

---

- 5 Where the court has directed that the evidence on a particular issue is to be given by one expert only (rule 35.7) but there are a number of disciplines relevant to that issue, a leading expert in the dominant discipline should be identified as the single expert. He should prepare the general part of the report and be responsible for annexing or incorporating the contents of any reports from experts in other disciplines.

## ASSESSORS

---

- 6.1 An assessor may be appointed to assist the court under rule 35.15. Not less than 21 days before making any such appointment, the court will notify each party in writing of the name of the proposed assessor, of the matter in respect of which the assistance of the assessor will be sought and of the qualifications of the assessor to give that assistance.
- 6.2 Where any person has been proposed for appointment as an assessor, objection to him, either personally or in respect of his qualification, may be taken by any party.
- 6.3 Any such objection must be made in writing and filed with the court within 7 days of receipt of the notification referred to in paragraph 6.1 and will be taken into account by the court in deciding whether or not to make the appointment (section 63(5) of the County Courts Act 1984).
- 6.4 Copies of any report prepared by the assessor will be sent to each of the parties but the assessor will not give oral evidence or be open to cross-examination or questioning.

