



Differences between the Local Adult reoffending rate and the annual National Statistics

There are a number of differences between the local adult reoffending measure and the annual National Statistics:

- The sample of offenders
- The measure of reoffending
- The time allowed for reoffending
- The types of sentences which mean an offence is counted

These differences reflect the different purposes of the outputs. The National Statistics on reoffending are the headline measure of reoffending in England and Wales, and are used to measure progress against government targets to reduce reoffending. The local adult measure has been developed as a more timely source of information on trends in reoffending, and to improve understanding of how progress in reducing reoffending is being made at the local level.

Which offenders are included in the analysis?

The local measure takes a snapshot of every offender under probation supervision at the end of each quarter, and combines four such snapshots together. Each quarter, the dataset moves on, with one new quarter added, and the oldest removed.

This means that the local measure considers offenders who may have been under supervision in the community (either on licence from custody or on a court order) for a range of time from one day to a number of years. However, the local measure will not include offenders aged 22 and over who have been released from a short custodial sentence (as they do not receive probation supervision).

The national measure includes every offender discharged from prison or commencing a court order under probation supervision in January to March of a year.

The national measure considers offenders from the first day of their at risk period in the community, and does include offenders released from short custodial sentences.

Main reason for difference – using the national approach would not provide large enough numbers of offenders to enable measurement at the local level.

Methodology for measuring reoffending

The local measure counts the proportion of offenders from each snapshot that is proven to reoffend in a three month period, and compares this to the proportion expected to reoffend given their characteristics.

The headline national measure (frequency of reoffending) counts the number of offences (per 100 offenders) committed in a one year period, with no comparison to expected rates. It also presents the proportion of offenders that reoffend and produces expected rates – however, these are not the headline measure.

Main reason for difference – using the national approach would not allow for fair comparisons between areas or across time (given the small numbers of offenders being measured in an area, and the lack of a predicted rate for the frequency of reoffending).

Time allowed for reoffending

The local measure allows three months (with a further three months for offences to be proved by court conviction or caution)

The national measure allows twelve months (with a further six months for offences to be proved by court conviction)

Analysis has shown that reoffending over three months is representative of reoffending over twelve months for most offences, but is not representative for more serious offences which take longer to work through the criminal justice system.

Main reason for difference – using the local measure allows for more timely data than is possible using the national method.

What counts as a reoffence?

The local measure considers offences proved by both court convictions and cautions when counting whether an offender reoffended.

The national measure considers only court convictions.

Main reason for difference – adding in cautions to the local measure allows for slightly more offences to be included. Having a higher number of reoffenders allows for more robust expected rates of reoffending – smaller numbers make this prediction more difficult.